arXiv:1508.01184v1 [math.PR] 5 Aug 2015

Variational View to Optimal Stopping Problems for Diffusion Processes and Threshold Strategies*

V.I. Arkin[†] A.D. Slastnikov[‡]

Abstract: We describe a variational approach to solving optimal stopping problems for diffusion processes, as an alternative to the traditional approach based on the solution of the free-boundary problem. We study smooth pasting conditions from a variational point of view, and give some examples when the solution to free-boundary problem is not the solution to optimal stopping problem. A special attention is paid to threshold strategies which allow reduce optimal stopping problem to more simple one-parametric optimization. Necessary and sufficient conditions for threshold structure of optimal stopping time are derived. We apply these results to both investment timing and optimal abandon models.

1 Introduction

Let $X_t,\ t \geq 0$ be a diffusion process with values in $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}, \mathbf{P})$.

Let us consider an optimal stopping problem for this process:

$$U(x) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbf{E}^x g(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}}, \tag{1}$$

---

[*] The work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Researches (projects 15-06-03723, 13-01-00784) and Russian Foundation for Humanities (project 14-02-00036). The authors are thankful to Ernst Presman for helpful remarks and discussions.

[†] Central Economics and Mathematics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences. 117418, Moscow, Nakhimovskii prospect, 47. E-mail: arkin@cemi.rssi.ru

[‡] Central Economics and Mathematics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences. 117418, Moscow, Nakhimovskii prospect, 47. E-mail: slast@cemi.rssi.ru

where $g: \ D \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is payoff function, $\rho > 0$ is discount rate, and $\mathbf{E}^x$ means the expectation for the process X_t starting from the initial state x. The maximum in (1) takes over some class $\mathcal{M}$ of stopping times (s.t.)[1] τ. Usually (in classic case) $\mathcal{M}$ is the class of all s.t. with respect to the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^X = \sigma\{X_s, \ 0 \le s \le t\}, \ t \ge 0$).

The above problem is one of the classical problems in stochastic control theory. It has a long and wide history in literature and applications.

There are two main approaches to solving an optimal stopping problem for diffusion processes.

The first one, usually referred as Markovian (or 'mass'), embeds underlying optimal stopping problem into the family of problems (1) with *all* possible initial states x of the process X_t. In this case to solve problem (1) means to find the value function $U(x)$ as well as stopping time $\tau^*(x)$, at which sup in (1) is attained (see, e.g., [20, 19]).

It follows from general theory that $U(x)$ is the smallest excessive (more precisely, ρ-excessive) majorant of payoff function $g(x)$ (Dynkin's characterization, see [14]). Developing this approach in one-dimensional case, Dayanik and Karatzas [11] gave a characterization of the value function of the optimal stopping problem (1) as the smallest nonnegative majorant of payoff $g(x)$, which is concave regarded the certain function which is specified by local characteristics of a given diffusion process. Presman [21] proposed how to derive value function using sequential modification of payoff function.

Knowing the value function $U(x)$ one can find the optimal stopping time $\tau^*(x)$ as the first exit time of process X_t out of the 'continuation set' $C = \{x : U(x) > g(x)\}$.

The traditional method for finding the value function for optimal stopping problem (1) is based on solving the related free-boundary problem: to find unknown function $H(x), \ x \in D$ and set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathbb{L}H(x) = \rho H(x), \quad x \in C; \tag{2}$$

$$H(x) = g(x), \quad x \in \partial C; \tag{3}$$

$$\operatorname{grad} H(x) = \operatorname{grad} g(x), \quad x \in \partial C \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbb{L}$ is the infinitesimal generator of X_t, ∂C is the boundary of the set C. The condition (3) is called "continuous pasting", and (4) – "smooth pasting" condition.

The solution to the above free-boundary problem is considered as a candidate for solution to optimal stopping problem. And then, using some verification arguments it is necessary to prove that solution $(H(x), \, C)$ to the free-boundary problem really provides the solution of the optimal stopping problem. Namely, $H(x)$ will be a value function and $\tau^*(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin C\}$ will be an optimal stopping time. This free-boundary approach is described in a lot of papers and textbooks, for example, in the monograph by Peskir and Shiryaev [20].

---

[1] In this paper we consider stopping times which can take infinite values (with positive probability)

Another approach deals with solving an optimal stopping problem (1) for fixed initial state $X_0 = x$. And, first of all, it should be noted the martingale approach by Beibel and Lerche [7, 8]. Their basic idea is to represent the functional in the right-hand of optimal stopping problem (1) as a product of positive martingale and 'gain' function. And then, using martingale arguments it can be shown that maximization of the gain function gives (under enough weak assumptions) a solution to initial optimal stopping problem. Other applications of martingale methods to solve optimal stopping problems one can find, e.g., in [20, Ch. 1].

The present paper develops so-called variational approach to solving an optimal stopping problem for fixed initial state of the process X_t, described in [5]. In the framework of this approach we propose to find a solution to the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}$ of stopping times, which are the first exit times of the process X_t from the sets belonging to the given family, and to make optimization over this family of sets. As an argument for such a reduction of the class of stopping times may be the fact that under enough general assumptions an optimal stopping time in problem (1) can be find as the first exit time of the process X_t out of the open set $C = \{U(x) > g(x)\}$. Hence we can take $\mathcal{M}$ as a family of first exit times from all open sets (in $\mathbb{R}^n$). Since in one-dimensional case any open set can be represented as countable union of disjoint intervals, then optimal stopping problem can be reduced (in this case) to finding optimal first exit time from intervals (a, b), $l \leq a < b \leq r$, which contains starting point x of the process X_t. Necessary conditions for optimality of such an interval were obtained in [1]. The above mentioned intervals are an example of the fact that if the family of sets is chosen 'well', then maximization in first exit times from these sets can give a solution to optimal stopping problem over all stopping times. And conversely, one can formulate 'an inverse problem': Under fixed family of sets to describe all conditions on the process and the payoff function, under which an optimal solution (over all stopping times) is the first exit time of the process from a set belonging to the given family.

Note, that for a lot of optimal stopping problem, especially in multi-dimensional case, it is impossible to derive an explicit solution, while the exact solution is very hard for calculations and not suitable for further analysis. Thus, if finding an optimal stopping decision is not the final goal of study (for example, in investment models, see [4]), then it makes sense to restrict considerations to simple class of stopping times in order to obtain any 'reasonable' solution which will be tractable and suitable for analysis.

At last, the variational approach gives a different look (compared with traditional one) to smooth-pasting principle (4). In the framework of this approach a smooth-pasting condition can be viewed as first-order optimality condition for a certain function, while an optimal stopping strategy is associated with a maximization of that function. Thus, a difference between stationary point and point of maximum can give some non-exotic examples when there are many solutions to free-boundary problem and solution to free-boundary problem does not give a solution to optimal stopping problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe a variational approach for solving an optimal stopping problem. For the case, when the underlying class of optimal stopping is first exit times from one-parametric family of sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$, an optimal stopping problem can be reduced to one-dimensional maximization of some function. For this case we give necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality of stopping time over the class under consideration.

Frequently, it is optimal to stop when the process exceeds some level (threshold strategy). Similar threshold decisions arise, e.g., in mathematical finance [22], investment models under uncertainty (real option theory) [13], etc. Almost all known decisions in real options theory have a threshold structure (see [13]). For example, solutions 'to invest or not', 'to abandon or not' depend on whether the observed values (which determine a decision) will be more or less than some level (threshold). In Section 3 it is demonstrated how a variational approach works for one-dimensional diffusion processes and two classes of one-parametric sets (l- and r-intervals), which are generated by threshold strategies. We give here necessary and sufficient conditions under which the optimal stopping time will have a threshold structure. At the end of this section we apply the obtained results to two fundamental models in real options theory: investment timing model and optimal abandonment model.

In Section 4 we return to general variational approach for solving an optimal stopping problem and consider free-boundary problem (for threshold case) from a variational point of view. Namely, we give an example when a solution to free-boundary problem is not a solution to optimal stopping problem. Moreover, using second-order optimality conditions we prove some results about a relation between solutions to free-boundary problem and optimal stopping problem.

2 Variational approach to optimal stopping problem

In this section we develop approach to solving an optimal stopping problem which we shall refer as a variational (see [5]). In the framework of this approach, one can define a priori a class $\mathcal{M}$ of stopping times which are the first exit time out of the set (from a given family of sets), and find optimal stopping time over this class. Besides, unlike the mass setting of an optimal stopping problem, we study the individual problem (1) for the given (fixed) initial state of the process $X_0 = x$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G\}$ be a given family of regions in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\tau_G = \tau_G(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \ X_t \notin G\}$ be a first exit time of process X_t out of the region G (obviously, $\tau_G = 0$ whenever $x \notin G$), and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\tau_G, \ G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ be a set of first exit times for all regions from the class $\mathcal{G}$.

Under fixed initial value x for any region $G \in \mathcal{G}$ we define the following function (of sets)

$$V_G(x) = \mathbf{E}^x g(X_{\tau_G}) e^{-\rho\tau_G} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_G < \infty\}}. \tag{5}$$

Outside the region G this function equals payoff function g, and inside G the function $V_G(x)$ can be derived (under some weak assumptions) as a solution to boundary Dirichlet problem (see, for example, [14], [19]):

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{L}u(x) = \rho u(x), \quad && x \in G; \\ &u(y) \to g(x), && y \in G, \ y \to x \in \partial G. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In order to calculate functions of the type (5) one can use martingale methods also (see, for example, [20], [12]).

Thus, a solving an optimal stopping problem (1) over a class of s.t. $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})$ can be converted to a solving the following *variational* problem:

$$V_G(x) \to \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}}. \tag{7}$$

If G^* is an optimal region in (7), then the first exit time from this region τ_{G^*} will be the optimal stopping time for the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})$.

If the class of regions $\mathcal{G}$ is chosen 'well', it is possible to prove that s.t. τ_{G^*} will be also an optimal stopping time for problem (1) over all s.t. $\mathcal{M}$. In [5] such approach was realized for two-dimension geometric Brownian motion X_t and homogeneous payoff function g.

A close approach is developed in [1], where an optimal stopping problem for one-dimensional diffusion is solved by mathematical programming technique. However those method uses a few properties of one-dimensional diffusion, which are not valid in multi-dimensional case.

As for multi-dimensional processes, the first-order conditions as a heuristic method for finding boundaries of optimal "continuation sector" in optimal stopping problem for bivariate geometric Brownian motion and homogeneous (of first order) payoff function was used in [12].

Let us note, that the calculation of the optimal stopping time over a given class of regions represents, to our opinion, a practical interest. Indeed, free-boundary problem for multi-dimensional diffusion processes has no (as a rule) explicit solution. Therefore, it has a sense to restrict our consideration to more simple stopping times and corresponding regions, for which it is possible to derive the function of sets $V_G(x)$. Also, numerical methods can be applied for solving the problem (7) with fixed initial state $X_0 = x$.

An idea of variational approach is general enough and can be applied not only for a diffusion processes and payoff functions of the type (1).

2.1 One-parametric family of regions

Under some additional assumptions a general variational problem (7) can be simplified and be made more convenient for study.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_p,\ p \in P \subset \mathbb{R}^1\}$ be one-parametric family of regions in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\tau_p = \inf\{t\geq 0 : X_t \notin G_p\}$,

$$V(p;x) = V_{G_p}(x) = \mathbf{E}^x g(X_{\tau_p}) e^{-\rho\tau_p} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_p<\infty\}} \tag{8}$$

(see formula (5)).

The function $V(p;x)$ is defined on $P \times D$, and, obviously, $V(p;x) = g(x)$ for $x \notin G_p$.

Further, we assume that a family of regions $\{G_p\}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) *Monotonicity*: $G_{p_1} \subset G_{p_2}$ whenever $p_1 < p_2$.

(A2) *Thickness*: Every point $x \in D$ belongs to the boundary of the unique set from the class $\mathcal{G}$. The parameter of those set will be refered as $q(x)$, so $x \in \partial G_{q(x)}$.

Under a thickness property one can write:

$$V(q(x);x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in D. \tag{9}$$

For a one-parametric case the variational problem (7) can be rewritten as one-dimensional optimization:

$$V(p;x) \to \sup_{p\in P}, \tag{10}$$

where $V(p;x)$ is specified in (8).

Under the assumptions **(A1)** and **(A2)** necessary and sufficient conditions for maximization of $V(p;x)$ in p are given by the following

Theorem 1.
i) *If* $p^* = p^*(x)$ *is the solution to the problem (10) then conditions*

$$V(p;x) \le V(p^*;x) \text{ whenever } p < p^*,\ x \in G_p \cup \partial G_p, \tag{11}$$

$$V(p;x) \le g(x) \text{ whenever } p > p^*,\ x \in G_p \setminus G_{p^*} \tag{12}$$

hold.

ii) *If for some* $p^* = p^*(x)$

$$V(p_1;x) \ge V(p_2;x) \text{ whenever } p^* \le p_1 < p_2,\ x \in G_{p_2} \tag{13}$$

and condition (11) hold, then p^* *is the solution to the problem (10).*

Proof. i) Inequality in (11) is the direct consequence of optimality of p^* in problem (10). For $p > p^*$ and $x \in G_p \setminus G_{p^*}$ we have $g(x) = V(p^*;x) \ge V(p;x)$, i.e. (12).

ii) Show that $V(p;x) \le V(p^*;x)$ for any $p \in P$. Denote $\overline{G}_p = G_p \cup \partial G_p$ — the closure of the set G_p.

Let $p < p^*$. If $x \notin G_{p^*}$, then $x \notin G_p$ (due to monotonicity of regions) and, therefore, $V(p;x) = g(x) = V(p^*;x)$. If $x \in G_{p^*}$ and $x \in \overline{G}_p$, then $V(p;x) \le V(p^*;x)$ (due to (11)). Finally, if $x \in G_{p^*}$ and $x \notin \overline{G}_p$, then $q(x) < p^*$, $x \in \partial G_{q(x)}$, and using (9), (11), we have: $V(p;x) = g(x) = V(q(x);x) \le V(p^*;x)$.

Consider the case $p > p^*$. If $x \notin G_p$, then $x \notin G_{p^*}$ (due to monotonicity of regions), hence, $V(p;x) = g(x) = V(p^*;x)$. Whenever $x \in G_p$ and $x \in G_{p^*}$, then $V(p;x) \le V(p^*;x)$ due to (13). When $x \in G_p$ and $x \notin G_{p^*}$ one can see that $p^* \le q(x) < p$. Therefore, (13) implies: $V(p;x) \le V(q(x);x) = g(x) = V(p^*;x)$. $\square$

As one can see there is a gap between necessary conditions (11), (12) and sufficient conditions (11), (13). But for one-dimensional diffusion processes it will be derived that the their direct consequences give necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality of stopping time, so the mentioned above gap disappears (see Theorem 2 in Section 3).

2.2 A variational look to smooth pasting principle

The variational approach can give a new look to a smooth pasting principle, which is crucial in solving free-boundary problem.

Let the set of states $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of the diffusion process X_t be an open set, the assumptions (A1)–(A2) on one-parametric family of sets $\mathcal{G} = \{G_p,\, p \in P\}$, where P is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^1$, hold. Suppose that functions $g(x)$, as well as $q(x)$ (the parameter of the region whose boundary passes through the point x), are differentiable in all arguments. Note, that the function $q(x)$ will be smooth, for example, in the case when regions' boundaries ∂G_p are specified by surfaces of the type $\{\Psi(p, y) = 0,\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, where $\Psi(p, y)$ is continuously differentiable in (p, y) and $\Psi'_p(p, y)$ is non-zero. Moreover, assume there exists differentiable function $F(p, x)$ on $P \times D$ such that $F(p, x) = V(p; x)$ for $p \in P,\ x \in G_p$ (where $V(p; x)$ is defined in (8)).

Let $\bar{p}(x)$ be a stationary point of the function $F(p, x)$ in p, i.e. $F'_p(\bar{p}(x), x) = 0$ $(x \in D)$. The continuous pasting condition $V(q(x); x) = g(x)$ (see (9)) implies

$$F'_p(q(x), x) \operatorname{grad} q(x) + F'_x(q(x), x) = \operatorname{grad} g(x), \quad x \in D. \tag{14}$$

Thus, if $x \in \partial G_{\bar{p}(x)}$, then $q(x) = \bar{p}(x)$ and, therefore,

$$F'_x(\bar{p}(x), x) = \operatorname{grad} g(x), \quad x \in \partial G_{\bar{p}(x)}. \tag{15}$$

This equality can be viewed as a variant of smooth pasting condition at the boundary of the set $G_{\bar{p}(x)}$, whose parameter is a stationary point of a function $F(p, x)$.

Note, the set of such x that (15) holds can be empty. Consider the case, when stationary points $\bar{p}(x) = \bar{p}$ do not depend on x. In this case the set of such x that relation (15) valid, is not empty. As we see below at Section 3, such a situation emerges, in particular, for one-dimensional diffusions and the classes of l-intervals or r-intervals. In these cases the function $F(p, x)$ has a multiplicative structure: $F(p, x) = F_1(p)F_2(x)$ — see (20), (26).

Defining the function $\overline{F}(x) = F(\bar{p}, x)$, the relation (15) can be written as follows:

$$\operatorname{grad} V_{\bar{p}}(x) = \operatorname{grad} \overline{F}(x) = \operatorname{grad} g(x), \quad x \in \partial G_{\bar{p}}. \tag{16}$$

Taking into account that $\overline{F}(x)$ for $x \in G_{\bar{p}}$ is a solution to Dirichlet problem (6), the equality (16) is a traditional smooth pasting condition, and, therefore, the pair $(\overline{F}(x), G_{\bar{p}})$ is a solution to free-boundary problem (2)–(4). Let us note, if $\operatorname{grad} q(x) \neq 0$ for the family of regions $\{G_p\}$ and $x \in D$, then (as one can see from (14)), the smooth pasting condition (16) is equivalent to stationarity of $F(p, x)$ in p at the point $\bar{p}$.

On the other hand, if maximum of the function $F(p, x)$ in p is attained at the point $p^* \in P$, then the first exit time τ_{p^*} from the region G_{p^*} will be a candidate for an optimal stopping time over the class $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})$ (see Theorem 1).

Of course, point of maximum is a stationary point of the function F, but not vice versa. Hence, for such a case a solution to free-boundary problem can not give a solution to optimal stopping problem. We continue to discuss this question in Section 4.

3 One-dimensional diffusion processes. Threshold strategies

Let X_t be a diffusion process with values in the segment $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$ with boundary points l and r, where $-\infty \leq l < r \leq +\infty$, open or closed (i.e. it may be (l, r), $[l, r)$, $(l, r]$, or $[l, r]$), and its infinitesimal generator has the following type :

$$\mathbb{L}f(x) = a(x)f'(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\sigma^2(x)f''(x), \tag{17}$$

where $a: \ D \to \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\sigma: \ D \to \mathbb{R}^1_+$ are the drift and diffusion functions, respectively. Denote $\mathcal{I} = (l, r)$.

The process X_t is assumed to be regular; this means that, starting from an arbitrary point $x \in \mathcal{I}$, this process reaches any point $y \in \mathcal{I}$ in finite time with positive probability. To guarantee the regularity of X_t we assume that the drift and diffusion functions are satisfy the following local integrability condition:

$$\int_{x-\varepsilon}^{x+\varepsilon} \frac{1 + |a(y)|}{\sigma^2(y)} dy < \infty \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0, \tag{18}$$

at any $x \in \mathcal{I}$ (see, e.g. [16]).

It is known that under regularity conditions (18), on the interval $\mathcal{I}$, there exist (unique up to constant positive multipliers) increasing and decreasing functions $\psi(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ with absolutely continuous derivatives, which are the fundamental solutions to the ODE

$$\mathbb{L}u(x) = \rho u(x) \tag{19}$$

almost sure (in Lebesque measure) on the interval $\mathcal{I}$ (see, e.g. [16, Chapter 5, Lemma 5.26]). Moreover, $0 < \psi(x), \varphi(x) < \infty$ for $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Note, if functions $a(x)$, $\sigma(x)$ are continuous, then $\psi, \varphi \in C^2(\mathcal{I})$.

Below we introduce two natural one-parametric family of sets underlying a variational approach for one-dimensional diffusions.

3.1 l-intervals

As the first one-parametric family of sets we take intervals of the type $G_p = \{x \in D : x < p\}$, $p \in (l, r)$, which we call l-intervals (emphasizing that *left* end is fixed and equal to left boundary point of the process values D). l-interval G_p is $[l,p)$ or (l,p) in dependence on $l \in D$ or not. Obviously, the class of l-intervals satisfies conditions **(A1)**–**(A2)** in Section 2.1.

Let us define $\tau_p^l = \inf\{t{\geq}0 : X_t \notin G_p\} = \inf\{t{\geq}0 : X_t \geq p\}$ — the first time when the process X_t leaves G_p. We will call τ_p^l as threshold stopping time (first exit time *over* threshold p). Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{th}}^l = \{\tau_p^l,\ p \in \mathcal{I}\}$ be a class of all such threshold stopping times.

Along with the above stopping time let us define the first hitting time to threshold: $T_p = \inf\{t{\geq}0 : X_t = p\},\ p \in (l,r)$.

Then for the above class of l-intervals the function $V(p;x)$, defined in previous section, has the following representation:

Lemma 1. *If $x, p \in \mathcal{I}$, then*

$$V(p;x) = \begin{cases} g(p)\psi(x)/\psi(p), & \text{for } x < p, \\ g(x), & \text{for } x \geq p, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where $\psi(x)$ is an increasing solution to ODE (19).

Proof. Due to known formulas (see, e.g., [15, 9]):

$$\mathbf{E}^x e^{-\rho T_p} = \begin{cases} \psi(x)/\psi(p), & \text{for } x < p, \\ \varphi(x)/\varphi(p), & \text{for } x \geq p. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for $x < p$, obviously, $\tau_p^l = T_p$ and using the above formula we have:

$$\mathbf{E}^x g(X_{\tau_p^l}) e^{-\rho \tau_p^l} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_p^l < \infty\}} = \mathbf{E}^x g(X_{T_p}) e^{-\rho T_p} = g(p)\mathbf{E}^x e^{-\rho T_p} = g(p)\frac{\psi(x)}{\psi(p)},$$

that proves lemma. □

For one-dimensional case we can enhance Theorem 1 and give necessary and sufficient conditions (which are the same) for optimality over the class of l-intervals (or threshold stopping times). Let us define

$$h(p) = g(p)/\psi(p). \tag{21}$$

In order to exclude trivial cases (when optimal threshold stopping time does not exist) we assume that $g(x_0) > 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem 2. *Threshold stopping time $\tau_{p^*}^l$ is optimal in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}{=}\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{th}}^l$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, if and only if the following conditions hold:*

$$h(p) \leq h(p^*) \text{ whenever } p < p^*; \tag{22}$$

$$h(p) \text{ does not increase for } p \geq p^*. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 1 it is easy to see that in our case the condition (11) is equivalent to (22), and (12) is equivalent to (23). Therefore, by Theorem 1, optimality of $\tau^l_{p^*}$ implies conditions (22)–(23).

On the other hand, let (22)–(23) hold. Take arbitrary p_1, p_2 such that $p^* \le p_1 < p_2$ and $x < p_2$. If $x < p_1$ then $V(p_1; x) = h(p_1)\psi(x) \ge h(p_2)\psi(x) = V(p_2; x)$. And if $p_1 \le x < p_2$ then $V(p_1; x) = g(x) = h(x)\psi(x) \ge h(p_2)\psi(x) = V(p_2; x)$. Thus, the condition (13) holds and we can apply Theorem 1 again. $\square$

So, the optimal threshold p^* is a point of maximum for the function $h(p)$. This implies the necessity (under minor assumptions) of the 'generalized' smooth-pasting principle.

Corollary 1. *Let τ_{p^*}, $p^* \in \mathcal{I}$, be the optimal stopping time in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}^l_{\mathrm{th}}$, and function $g(x)$ has one-sided derivatives $g'(p^* \pm 0)$ at the point p^*. Then the function $v(x) = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{I}} V(p; x)$ has one-sided derivatives at the point p^* and the following inequalities hold:*

$$g'(p^*{+}0) = v'(p^*{+}0) \le v'(p^*{-}0) \le g'(p^*{-}0).$$

Proof. From (20) it follows

$$v(x) = V(p^*; x) = \begin{cases} h(p^*)\psi(x), & \text{for } x < p^*, \\ g(x), & \text{for } x \ge p^*. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Since p^* is a point of maximum for the function $h(p)$, then $h'(p^*{-}0) \ge 0 \ge h'(p^*{+}0)$. Therefore,

$$v'(p^*{-}0) = h(p^*)\psi'(p^*) = g'(p^*{-}0) - h'(p^*{-}0)\psi(p^*) \le g'(p^* - 0),$$

$$v'(p^*{+}0) = g'(p^*{+}0) = h'(p^*{+}0)\psi(p^*) + h(p^*)\psi'(p^*) \le h(p^*)\psi'(p^*) = v'(p^*{-}0).$$

The corollary proved.

Remark. *If function $g(x)$ is differentiable at the point p^*, then the function $v(x)$ will be differentiable at the point p^*, and $v'(p^*) = g'(p^*)$.*

The necessity of the smooth pasting condition under some additional constraints on the process was shown in [20]. A result similar to ours was obtained in [23]

The extended conditions of Theorem 2 will be necessary and sufficient for that a solution to stopping problem (1) over threshold stopping times remains optimal over *all* stopping times.

Let us define for $p \in \mathcal{I}$ a restriction of a process X_t on $D^l_p = \{x \in D : x \geq p\}$ as $Y_t = X_{t \wedge T_p}$ where $Y_0 = x > p$ and T_p is the first hitting time of threshold p. In other words, the process Y_t is started from a point greater than p and is absorbed when it reaches p.

We will call the nonnegative function $f : D^l_p \to \mathbb{R}_+$ as ρ-excessive with respect to restriction of X_t on D^l_p if for all $x > p$ and any stopping time τ

$$\mathbf{E}^x f(X_{\tau \wedge T_p}) e^{-\rho(\tau \wedge T_p)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \wedge T_p < \infty\}} \leq f(x). \tag{25}$$

where $T_p = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = p\},\ p \in (l, r)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $\tau^l_{p^*}$, $p^* \in \mathcal{I}$, be an optimal stopping time in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}^l_{\text{th}}$ of threshold stopping times for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, moreover, there exists $g'(p^*{+}0)$ and $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x > p^*$. Then threshold stopping time $\tau^l_{p^*}$ is the optimal stopping time in problem (1) over class of all stopping times for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e.*

$$U(x) = \sup_\tau \mathbf{E}^x g(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} = \begin{cases} h(p^*)\psi(x), & \text{for } x < p^*, \\ g(x), & \text{for } x \geq p^*, \end{cases}$$

if and only if the function $g(x)$ is ρ-excessive with respect to restriction of process X_t on $D^l_{p^*}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\tau^l_{p^*}$ be optimal in problem (1) over all stopping times for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Then for $x > p^*$ and any stopping time τ we have

$$\mathbf{E}^x g(X_{\tilde\tau}) e^{-\rho\tilde\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde\tau < \infty\}} \leq U(x) = g(x),$$

where $\tilde\tau = \tau \wedge T_{p^*}$. It means that $g(x)$ is ρ-excessive function with respect to restriction of process X_t on $D^l_{p^*}$.

To establish the inverse implication let define the function

$$\Phi(x) = V(p^*; x) = \begin{cases} h(p^*)\psi(x), & \text{for } x < p^*, \\ g(x), & \text{for } x \geq p^*. \end{cases}$$

and show that $\mathbf{E}^x \Phi(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \leq \Phi(x)$ for any stopping time τ and $x \in \mathcal{I}$.

In order to prove it we use the following criteria of ρ-excessive functions from [17, Theorem 5.1].

Lemma 2. *A function $F : D \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\mathbf{E}^x F(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \leq F(x)$$

for all stopping times τ and all initial states $x \in \mathcal{I}$, if and only if the following statements hold:

i) $F(x)$ is the difference of two convex functions for $x \in \mathcal{I}$;

ii) the measure $\mathcal{L}F(dx) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)F''(dx) + a(x)F'(x{-}0)dx - \rho F(x)dx$, where $F''(dx)$ means second distributional derivative, is non-positive;

iii) F is lower semi-continuous at absorbing boundary points.

Apply this lemma to the function $\Phi(x)$.

If $x < p^*$ then $\Phi(x) = h(p^*)\psi(x)$. Obviously, $\psi(x)$ is the difference of two convex functions, because $\psi'(x)$ has a finite variation (at any segment $[l', p^*]$, $l' > l$) and, therefore, is the difference of two increasing functions. Thus, condition i) of Lemma 2 is satisfied. Further, $\mathcal{L}\Phi(dx) = h(p^*)[\mathbb{L}\psi(x) - \rho\psi(x)]dx = 0$ a.s. (by definition of $\psi(x)$), i.e. ii) holds. At last, if l is absorbing state we can define $\psi(l)$ in continuity, so that condition iii) of Lemma 2 is also satisfied.

If $x > p^*$ then $\Phi(x) = g(x)$. Since $g(x)$ is ρ-excessive with respect to restriction of process X_t on $D^l_{p^*}$, then conditions i)–iii) of Lemma 2 are satisfied.

Finally, at the point $x = p^*$ we have $\mathcal{L}\Phi(\{p^*\}) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(p^*)[g'(p^*{+}0) - h(p^*)\psi'(p^*)] \le 0$, since p^* is the point of maximum for function $h(p)$ (see Theorem 2) and, therefore $h'(p^*{+}0) \le 0$.

Thus, all conditions i)–iii) of Lemma 2 are satisfied for the function $\Phi(x)$, $x \in D$.

Since $\tau^l_{p^*}$ is optimal over the class $\mathcal{M}^l_{\text{th}}$, then Theorem 2 implies $h(p^*)\psi(x) \ge h(x)\psi(x) = g(x)$ for $x < p^*$, therefore $\Phi(x) \ge g(x)$ for all x. Using this inequality and Lemma 2 we have

$$\mathbf{E}^x g(X_\tau)e^{-\rho\tau}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \le \mathbf{E}^x \Phi(X_\tau)e^{-\rho\tau}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \le \Phi(x)$$

for all stopping times τ and $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, $U(x) \le \Phi(x)$. On the other hand, obviously, $U(x) \ge \Phi(x)$.

Therefore, $U(x) = \Phi(x) = V(p^*; x)$, i.e. τ_{p^*} is the optimal stopping time in problem (1) over all stopping times for all x.

This completes the proof.

As we see the question “Does optimal threshold stopping time τ_{p^*} remain optimal over all stopping times?” is reduced to problem of ρ-excessiveness of payoff function with respect to the restriction of underlying process on the range $D^l_{p^*}$. There are several conditions and criteria for ρ-excessiveness, see, e.g. [11, 17].

Now we give necessary and sufficient conditions for such a ρ-excessiveness of payoff function, which may be convenient for applications.

Statement. *Let for a set of isolated points* $\{a_1, ..., a_n, ...\}$, *where* $p^*{<}a_1{<}a_2{<}...{<}r$, *the function* $g'(p)$ *be absolutely continuous on the intervals* (p^*, a_1), (a_i, a_{i+1}), $i{\geq}1$ *and there exist one-sided derivatives* $g(p^*{+}0)$, $g'(a_i{\pm}0)$, $i{\geq}1$, *such that* $\sum\limits_{i\geq 1} \sigma^2(a_i)|g'(a_i{+}0){-}g'(a_i{-}0)| < \infty$. *Then* $g(x)$ *is* ρ*-excessive function with respect to restriction of process* X_t *on* $D^l_{p^*}$ *if and only if the following conditions hold:*

i) $\mathbb{L}g(p) \leq \rho g(p)$ *a.s. (in Lebesque measure) for* $p > p^*$;

ii) $g'(a_i{+}0) - g'(a_i{-}0) \leq 0$ *for all* $i \geq 1$.

(This statement easy follows from Lemma 2.)

3.2 r-intervals

Now let us consider another one-parametric family of sets underlying the variational approach to solving optimal stopping problems, namely, the class of r-intervals of the type $G_p = \{x \in D : x > p\}$, $p \in \mathcal{I}$. This class is similar to the class of l-intervals, but here we fix the right end of the range of the process D instead of its left end (as in l-intervals).

In complete analogy with the above considerations we can define threshold stopping time $\tau^r_p = \inf\{t{\geq}0 : X_t \leq p\}$ as a first time when process X_t falls *below* threshold p, $p \in \mathcal{I}$, and the corresponding class $\mathcal{M}^r_{\text{th}}$.

Then for the class of r-intervals the function $V(p; x)$, defined in (8), has the following representation (cf. (20)):

$$V(p; x) = \begin{cases} g(p)\varphi(x)/\varphi(p), & \text{for } x > p, \\ g(x), & \text{for } x \leq p, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is a decreasing solution to ODE (19).

Thus, we can modify all results from the previous section for the class of r-intervals.

Theorem 2′. *Threshold stopping time* $\tau^r_{p^*}$ *is optimal in the problem (1) over the class* $\mathcal{M}^r_{\text{th}}$ *for all* $x \in \mathcal{I}$, *if and only if the following conditions hold:*

$$g(p)/\varphi(p) \leq g(p^*)/\varphi(p^*) \text{ whenever } p > p^*;$$

$$g(p)/\varphi(p) \text{ does not decrease for } p \leq p^*.$$

We can define $D^r_p = \{x \in D : x \leq p\}$ for $p \in \mathcal{I}$ and a restriction of a process X_t on D^r_p as $Y_t = X_{t\wedge T_p}$, where $Y_0 = x < p$ and T_p is the first hitting time of threshold p.

In analogue with the case of l-intervals we call the function $f : D_p^r \to \mathbb{R}_+$ ρ-excessive with respect to restriction of X_t on D_p^r if for all $x < p$ and any stopping time τ the inequality (25) hold.

Now, the analogue of Theorem 3 is the following.

Theorem 3′. *Let $\tau_{p^*}^r$, $p^* \in \mathcal{I}$, be an optimal stopping time in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}_{\text{th}}^r$ of threshold stopping times for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, and there exists $g'(p^*-0)$. Then threshold stopping time $\tau_{p^*}^r$ is the optimal stopping time in problem (1) over class of all stopping times for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e.*

$$U(x) = \sup_\tau \mathbf{E}^x g(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau<\infty\}} = \begin{cases} g(p^*)\varphi(x)/\varphi(p^*), & \text{for } x > p^*, \\ g(x), & \text{for } x \le p^*, \end{cases}$$

if and only if the function $g(x)$ is ρ-excessive with respect to restriction of process X_t on $D_{p^*}^r$.

Threshold stopping times τ_p^r appears, in particular, in optimal stopping problems with both integral and terminal payoffs:

$$\mathbf{E}^x \left(\int_0^\tau g_1(X_t) e^{-\rho t}\, dt + g_0(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \right) \to \sup_\tau, \tag{27}$$

where $g_0(x)$, $g_1(x)$ are given functions.

Indeed, using strict Markov property of diffusion processes one can reduce the problem (27) to optimal stopping problem (1) with terminal payoff

$$g(x) = g_0(x) - R(x), \qquad \text{where } \; R(x) = \mathbf{E}^x \int_0^\infty g_1(X_t) e^{-\rho t}\, dt. \tag{28}$$

By Green function (see, e.g., [9, 1]) one can get the following Green representation for $R(x)$:

$$R(x) = B^{-1} \left(\varphi(x) \int_l^x \psi(y) g_1(y) H(y)\, dy + \psi(x) \int_x^r \varphi(y) g_1(y) H(y)\, dy \right), \tag{29}$$

where $B = [\psi'(x)\varphi(x) - \psi(x)\varphi'(x)]/S'(x) > 0$ is constant, $H(y) = 2[\sigma^2(y)S'(y)]^{-1}$, $S'(x) = \exp\left\{ -\int \frac{2a(x)}{\sigma^2(x)} dx \right\}$ is derivative of the scale function for the process X_t.

If functions $g_1(x)$ and $a(x)$, $\sigma(x)$ are continuous, then Green representation (29) implies that $R(x)$ will be twice differentiable at interval $\mathcal{I}$ and

$$R'(x) = B^{-1}[\varphi'(x) I_1(x) + \psi'(x) I_2(x)], \tag{30}$$

$$R''(x) = B^{-1}[\varphi'(x) I_1(x) + \psi'(x) I_2(x)] - 2g_1(x)/\sigma^2(x); \tag{31}$$

$$I_1(x) = \int_l^x \psi(y) g_1(y) H(y)\, dy, \quad I_2(x) = \int_x^r \varphi(y) g_1(y) H(y)\, dy, \tag{32}$$

where B is defined in (29).

Hence, Theorems $2'$, $3'$ together with the variant of Statement (for r-intervals) imply the following result concerning a threshold structure of solution to optimal stopping problem with both integral and terminal payoffs (27).

Theorem 4. *Let for some $p^* \in \mathcal{I}$ functions $a(x), \sigma(x), g_1(x)$ be continuous, $g_0(x)$ be twice differentiable and $g_0(x) \geq R(x)$ on segment $(l, p^*]$. Then threshold stopping time $\tau^r_{p^*} = \inf\{t{\geq}0 : X_t \leq p^*\}$ is the optimal stopping time in problem (27) over the class of all stopping times (for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$) if and only if the following conditions hold:*

$$[g_0(p) - R(p)]\varphi(p^*) \leq [g_0(p^*) - R(p^*)]\varphi(p) \quad \text{for } p > p^*; \tag{33}$$

$$I_2(p^*)S'(p^*) = g_0'(p^*)\varphi(p^*) - g_0(p^*)\varphi'(p^*); \tag{34}$$

$$\mathbb{L}g_0(p) - \rho g_0(p) \leq \mathbb{L}R(p) - \rho R(p) \quad \text{for } p < p^*, \tag{35}$$

where function $I_2(x)$ is specified in (32) and $\varphi(x)$ is a decreasing solution to ODE (19).

Results presented in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 extend the corresponding results obtained in [2, 6, 10, 23].

3.3 Application to Real Options

Investment timing problem. One of the fundamental problems in real options theory is to derive the optimal time at which an investor (or decision maker) should finance and launch an investment project — investment timing problem.

Let I be a cost of investment required for beginning a project, and X_t is considered as Present Value (PV) from the project started at time t. As usual investment supposed to be instantaneous and irreversible, and the project — infinitely-lived.

At any time a decision-maker (investor) can either *accept* the project and proceed with the investment or *delay* the decision until he obtains new information regarding its environment (prices of the product and resources, demand etc.). Thus, the main goal of a decision-maker in this situation is to find, using the available information, an optimal time for investing the project (investment timing problem), which maximizes the Net Present Value from the project:

$$\mathbf{E}\,(X_\tau - I)\,e^{-\rho\tau} \to \max_\tau, \tag{36}$$

where the maximum is considered over all stopping times $\tau{\in}\mathcal{M}$.

The majority of results on this problem which was introduced in [18], has a threshold structure: to invest when PV from the project exceeds the certain level (threshold). In the heuristic level it was shown for the cases when PV is modelled by geometric Brownian motion, arithmetic Brownian motion, mean-reverting process and some other (see [13]). And the general question arises: For what processes of PV from the project an optimal decision to an investment timing problem will have a threshold structure? Some sufficient conditions in this direction was obtained in [1]. We can give the necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality of threshold strategy in investment timing problem (36) as the consequence of our above results (but not a direct corollary) for the linear payoff function $g(x) = x - I$. We consider the case $I < r$ else the optimal time in (36) will be $+\infty$.

Theorem 5. *Threshold stopping time* $\tau^l_{p^*}$, $p^* \in (l, r)$, *is optimal in the investment timing problem (36) if and only if the following conditions hold:*

$$(p - I)\psi(p^*) \le (p^* - I)\psi(p) \quad \text{for } p < p^*; \tag{37}$$

$$\psi(p^*) = (p^* - I)\psi'(p^*); \tag{38}$$

$$a(p) \le \rho(p - I) \quad \text{for } p > p^*, \tag{39}$$

where $\psi(x)$ *is an increasing solution to ODE (19) and* $a(p)$ *is the drift function of the process* X_t.

Proof. Let $\tau^l_{p^*}$, $p^* \in (l, r)$, is optimal in the investment timing problem. Then it is optimal in (36) over threshold stopping times $\mathcal{M}^l_{\text{th}}$. This implies (due to Theorem 2) (37)–(38) and, besides, $p^* > I$. According to Theorem 3 $g(x) = x - I$ is ρ-excessive function with respect to restriction of X_t on the range $D^l_{p^*}$. This imply (39) — see condition i) from the Statement.

Now, let (37)–(39) hold. As in the proof of Theorem 3 consider the function

$$\Phi(x) = V(p^*; x) = \begin{cases} (p^* - I)\psi(x)/\psi(p^*), & \text{for } x < p^*, \\ x - I, & \text{for } x \ge p^*. \end{cases}$$

Note, (37) imply $\Phi(x) \ge x - I$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$.

It is easy to check (similar to the corresponding arguments in the proof of Theorem 3) that all conditions i)–iii) of Lemma 2 are satisfied. Therefore, $\mathbf{E}^x \Phi(X_\tau) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \le \Phi(x)$ for all τ and $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, $\mathbf{E}^x (X_\tau - I) e^{-\rho\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \le \Phi(x) = V(p^*; x)$, i.e. $\tau^l_{p^*}$ is optimal in the problem (36) over all stopping times.

Remark. *As one can see from the proof of Theorem 5, conditions (37)–(39) are necessary and sufficient for optimality of stopping time* $\tau^l_{p^*}$ *in optimal stopping problem with 'optional' payoff function* $g^+(x) = (x - I)^+$.

Let X_t be geometric Brownian motion with rate of drift α and volatility σ. In this case, $\psi(x) = x^{\beta}$, where β is the positive root of the equation $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\beta(\beta-1) + \alpha\beta = \rho$. If $\rho > \alpha$ then $\beta > 1$. The optimal threshold is $p^* = \dfrac{\beta}{\beta-1}I$ (see, e.g. [13, Ch. 5]). Note, if $\sigma \to \infty$ then $\beta \to 1$ and, therefore, $p^* \to \infty$. Hence, a large volatility increases threshold for investing.

Optimal exit (abandonment) problem Another fundamental problem in Real options theory concerns finding the optimal time at which a decision-maker, who receives a payoff from an acting firm, should terminate operating and abandon firm.

Let X_t be the price (at time t) of the good produced by the firm, and function $g_1(x)$ describes a dependence of firm's revenue on current price x.

The optimal abandonment problem is, using the available information about current production prices X_t, to find a moment τ for terminating of firm's activity (exit moment) such that the net present value of the firm be maximal:

$$\mathbf{E}^x\left(\int_0^{\tau} g_1(X_t)e^{-\rho t}\,dt - Le^{-\rho\tau}\right) \to \max_{\tau}, \tag{40}$$

where $L \geq 0$ is the abandonment cost.

The above Theorem 4 allows to rewrite the necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality of threshold stopping time $\tau^r_{p^*} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \leq p^*\}$ (with assumption $L + R(x) \leq 0$ for $x < p^*$) in the abandonment problem as follows:

$$[L + R(p)]\varphi(p^*) \geq [L + R(p^*)]\varphi(p) \quad \text{for } p > p^*; \tag{41}$$

$$I_2(p^*)S'(p^*) = L\varphi'(p^*); \tag{42}$$

$$\mathbb{L}R(p) \geq \rho R(p) + \rho L \quad \text{for } p < p^*, \tag{43}$$

where functions $R(x)$ and $I_2(x)$ are specified in (29) and (32) respectevely.

Let us note that $S'(p^*) > 0$, $\varphi'(p^*) < 0$. Therefore, if follows from (42) that

$$I_2(p^*) = \int_{p^*}^{r} \varphi(y)g_1(y)H(y)\,dy < 0. \tag{44}$$

If we assume that function $g_1(x)$ is increasing, i.e. firm's revenue increases when current price rises, then from (44) we have immediately $g_1(p^*) < 0$. It means that a firm should terminate an activity only when its revenue will be strictly negative. In particular, if $g_1(x) = x - c$, where x is current price of production, and c is production cost, then optimal threshold of price p^* for abandon a firm should be less then production cost: $p^* < c$.

If a firm under consideration operates, e.g., in oil exploration, the optimal threshold p^* can be interpreted as optimal (in sense of problem (40)) breakeven oil price, that characterizes how low price can fall before oil projects start shutting down.

Consider the example (see [13, Ch. 7]), where diffusion X_t is geometric Brownian motion with rate of drift $\alpha < 0$ and volatility σ, and $g_1(x) = x - c$. In this case, $R(x) = x/(\rho - \alpha) - c/\rho, \quad \varphi(x) = x^{\beta_1}$, where β_1 is the negative root of the equation $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\beta(\beta - 1) + \alpha\beta = \rho$.

If $c > \rho L$, the optimal threshold is $p^* = \dfrac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 - 1} \cdot \dfrac{\rho - \alpha}{\rho}(c - \rho L)$. It is easy to see that $\beta_1 > \rho/\alpha, \ \ p^* < c - \rho L$ and all conditions (41)–(43) hold. Note, that if volatility σ tends to $+\infty$, then $\beta_1 \to 0$ and, therefore, $p^* \to 0$. It means that large volatility implies low level of price for shut down and more long period before abandonment of firm's activity, even though current profits $P_t - c$ will be negative.

4 Free-boundary problem and threshold strategies

Let us return to a variational approach, described at Section 2, and give a new look to a free-boundary problem for the 'threshold case'. Consider one-dimensional diffusion process X_t with values in interval $D = (l, r)$ and the class of l-intervals. Assume that both drift and diffusion functions of X_t are continuous, and payoff function is differentiable (on D).

For this case a free-boundary problem can be written as follows: to find threshold $\bar{p}$, $l < \bar{p} < r$ and bounded twice differentiable function $H(x)$, $l < x < \bar{p}$, such that

$$\mathbb{L}H(x) = \rho H(x), \quad l < x < \bar{p}; \tag{45}$$

$$H(\bar{p} - 0) = g(\bar{p}), \tag{46}$$

$$H'(\bar{p} - 0) = g'(\bar{p}). \tag{47}$$

Conditions (45)–(46) hold for the function

$$H(x) = h(\bar{p})\psi(x), \quad l < x < \bar{p} \tag{48}$$

where $\psi(x)$ is an increasing solution to ODE (19) and $h(p) = g(p)/\psi(p)$. Thus, smooth pasting condition (47) at the point $\bar{p}$ is equivalent to $g(\bar{p})\psi'(\bar{p}) = g'(\bar{p})\psi(\bar{p})$, or $h'(\bar{p}) = 0$, i.e. $\bar{p}$ is a stationary point of the function h.

On the other hand, as the results of Section 3 show, the optimal threshold must be a point of maximum of the function h. Of course, point of maximum is a stationary point of the same function, but not vice versa.

In economic literature (e.g. in Real Options theory) it is common opinion that solution to free-boundary problem is always a solution to optimal stopping problem.

To demonstrate a difference between solutions to optimal stopping problem and free-boundary problem, consider the following example.

Example. *A solution to free-boundary problem can not give a solution to optimal stopping problem.*

Let us consider geometric Brownian motion $X_t = x\exp\{w_t\}$ (where w_t be standard Wiener process), payoff function $g(x)=(x-1)^3 + x^\delta$ for $x \geq 0$, and discount rate $\rho = \delta^2/2$ $(\delta > 0)$. The function g is smooth and increasing for all $\delta > 0$.

For this case the free-boundary problem is the following one:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x^2 H''(x) + \frac{1}{2}xH'(x) = \rho H(x), \qquad 0 < x < p^* \\ H(p^*) = g(p^*) \\ H'(p^*) = g'(p^*) \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

Remind the designations $V_p(x) = \mathbf{E}^x g(X_{\tau_p})e^{-\rho\tau_p}$, and $\tau_p = \min\{t \geq 0 : X_t \geq p\}$.

For $\delta \leq 3$ the free-boundary problem (49) has the unique solution: $H(x) = V_1(x) = x^\delta$, $\quad p^* = 1$. However, the stopping time τ_1 (a first exit time over the level 1) is not optimal since $V_p(x) = ((p-1)^3/p^\delta + 1)x^\delta \to \infty$ when $p \to \infty$ (when $\delta < 3$), and $V_p(x) \uparrow V(x) = 2x^3$ (when $\delta = 3$) for any $x > 0$.

For $\delta > 3$ the free-boundary problem (49) has two solutions:

$$H(x)=V_1(x)=x^\delta,\ p^* = 1 \quad \text{and} \tag{50}$$

$$H(x)=V_{p_\delta}(x)=h(p_\delta)x^\delta,\ p^*=p_\delta=\delta/(\delta-3). \tag{51}$$

Note that $V_{p_\delta}(x) > V_1(x)$. Thus, one of the solutions to free-boundary problem ((50), corresponding to the boundary $p^* = 1$) does not give a solution to the optimal stopping problem (which there exists, in contrast to the previous case).

The above remarks together with classical results on extremal problems (especially, second-order optimality conditions) allow to derive new results about the relation between solutions to free-boundary problem (45)–(47) and optimal stopping problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}{=}\mathcal{M}_{\text{th}}^{l}$ of threshold stopping times generated by all l-intervals.

If function $g(x)$ be twice differentiable at the point $\bar{p}$, then representation (48) implies that $H''(\bar{p}{-}0) = h(\bar{p})\psi''(\bar{p})$. On the other hand, $g''(\bar{p}) = h''(\bar{p})\psi(\bar{p}) + h(\bar{p})\psi''(\bar{p})$ since $h'(\bar{p}) = 0$. Hence, we have

$$h''(\bar{p})\psi(\bar{p}) = g''(\bar{p}) - H''(\bar{p}{-}0). \tag{52}$$

Similarly, if $h^{(k)}(\bar{p}) = 0$, $k = 1, ..., n-1$ for some $n{>}2$ then

$$h^{(n)}(\bar{p})\psi(\bar{p}) = g^{(n)}(\bar{p}) - H^{(n)}(\bar{p}{-}0). \tag{53}$$

Due to Theorem 2 if τ_{p^*} is optimal in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}_{\text{th}}^{l}$, then function $h(p)$ has maximum at the point p^*. Applying second-order condition for extremum and relation (52) we obtain the following

Proposition 1. *If τ_{p^*} is optimal in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}{=}\mathcal{M}_{\text{th}}^{l}$ and function $g(x)$ is twice differentiable at the point p^*, then the pair $(H(x), p^*)$, where $H(x) = h(p^*)\psi(x)$, is the solution to free-boundary problem (45)–(47) and $H''(p^*{-}0) \geq g''(p^*)$.*

The inverse relation between solutions can be state as follows.

Proposition 2. *Let a pair $(H(x), p^*)$ be a solution to free-boundary problem (45)–(47), such that $H''(p^*{-}0){>}g''(p^*)$ and one of the following conditions holds:*

1) *$(H(x), p^*)$ is the unique solution to free-boundary problem (45)–(47);*

2) *if there exists another solution $(\tilde{H}(x), \tilde{p})$ to free-boundary problem (45)–(47), then $H(x) \geq g(x)$ for $l < x < p^*$, and either*

(2a) *$\tilde{p} < p^*$; or*

(2b) *$\tilde{p} > p^*$, $\tilde{H}^{(k)}(\tilde{p}{-}0){=}g^{(k)}(\tilde{p})$, $k = 2, ..., n-1$, $\tilde{H}^{(n)}(\tilde{p}{-}0){>}g^{(n)}(\tilde{p})$ for some $n{>}2$.*

Then τ_{p^*} is optimal in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}{=}\mathcal{M}_{\text{th}}^{l}$.

PROOF. From the definition of p^*, inequality $H''(p^*{-}0){>}g''(p^*)$ and (52) it follows that $h'(p^*) = 0$ and $h'(p)$ strictly decreases at some neighborhood of p^*.

Let condition 1) holds. It is easy to see that $h'(p) > 0$ for $p < p^*$ and $h'(p) < 0$ for $p > p^*$ (else $h'(q) = 0$ for some $q \neq p^*$ and $(h(q)\psi(x), q)$ is another solution to free-boundary problem (45)–(47)). Then application of Theorem 2 gives the optimality of stopping time τ_{p^*}.

Now, let 2) holds. Then representation (48) immediately imply that $h(x) = g(x)/\psi(x) \leq H(x)/\psi(x) = h(p^*)$ for $l < x < p^*$.

Let us prove that $h'(p) \le 0$ for all $p > p^*$. Indeed, if $h'(p_1) > 0$ for some $p_1 > p^*$, then there exists $p^* < p_0 < p_1$ such that $h'(p_0) = 0$ and $h'(p) > 0$ for all $p_0 < p < p_1$. Therefore, $(h(p_0)\psi(x), p_0)$ is another solution to free-boundary problem (45)–(47), and due to 2) $h^{(k)}(p_0) = 0$, $k = 2, ..., n-1$, $h^{(n)}(p_0){<}0$ for some $n{>}2$, that contradicts to positivity of $h'(p)$ for $p_0 < p < p_1$.

Hence, $h'(p) \le 0$ for all $p > p^*$ and due to Theorem 2 τ_{p^*} is optimal in the problem (1) over the class $\mathcal{M}{=}\mathcal{M}^l_{\text{th}}$ of l-threshold stopping times. $\square$

Let us demonstrate, how Proposition 2 works for the example considered above.

In the case $\delta \le 3$, we can't apply Proposition 2 since $H''(1){=}\delta(\delta-1){=}g''(1)$.

In the case $\delta > 3$, for the solution (51) with the boundary point $p^* = p_\delta$ (see (51)) we have

$$H''(p_\delta) = \delta(\delta-1)p_\delta^{\delta-2} + \frac{27(\delta-1)}{\delta(\delta-3)} > \delta(\delta-1)p_\delta^{\delta-2} + \frac{18}{\delta-3} = g''(p_\delta).$$

Besides, $h'(x) = (x-1)^2 x^{-\delta-1}(\delta-3)\left(\dfrac{\delta}{\delta-3} - x\right) \le 0$ for $\delta > 3$ and $x < p_\delta$, therefore, $H(x) = h(p_\delta)x^\delta \ge h(x)x^\delta = g(x)$ for $x < p_\delta$. Since for the another solution (50) boundary point $p^* = 1 < p_\delta$, then the condition 2) of Proposition 2 holds.

Hence, τ_{p_δ} is optimal in the problem (1) over the class of threshold stopping times $\mathcal{M}^l_{\text{th}}$.

Moreover, it can be shown (using Theorem 3), that τ_{p_δ} will be optimal stopping time over the class of all stopping times also. Indeed, by direct calculations one can obtain that

$$\mathbb{L}g(x) - \rho g(x) \le -1.5\frac{\delta}{\delta-3} < 0 \qquad \text{for } x > p_\delta,$$

and we can apply Theorem 3.

References

[1] Alvarez L.H.R. Reward functionals, salvage values, and optimal stopping. — Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 2001, v. 54, p. 315–337.

[2] Arkin V.I. Threshold Strategies in Optimal Stopping Problem for One-Dimensional Diffusion Processes. — Theory of Probability and its Applications, 2015, v. 59, No. 2, p. 311–319.

[3] Arkin V.I., Slastnikov A.D. Optimal time to invest under tax exemptions. — In: The Shyraev Festschrift. From Stochastic Calculus to Mathematical Finance. Springer, 2006, p. 17–32.

[4] Arkin V.I., Slastnikov A.D. The Effect of Depreciation Allowances on the Timing of Investment and Government Tax Revenue. — Annals of Operations Research, 2007, v. 151, No. 1, 307–323.

[5] Arkin V.I., Slastnikov A.D. A variational approach to an optimal stopping problems for diffusion processes. — Theory of Probability and its Applications, 2009, v. 53, No. 3, p. 467–480.

[6] Arkin V.I., Slastnikov A.D. Threshold Stopping Rules for Diffusion Processes and Stefan's Problem. — Doklady Mathematics, 86 (2), 626–629, 2012.

[7] Beibel M., Lerche H. R. A new look at optimal stopping problems related to mathematical finance. — Statistica Sinica, 1997, 7, 93–108.

[8] Beibel M., Lerche H. R. A note on optimal stopping of regular diffusions under random discounting. — Theory of Probability and Its Applications, 2001, 45 (4), 657–669.

[9] Borodin A., Salminen P. Handbook of Brownian motion – Facts and formulae. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2002.

[10] Crocce F., Mordecki E.. Explicit solutions in one-sided optimal stopping problems for one-dimensional diffusions. — Stochastics, 86 (3), 491–509, 2014.

[11] Dayanik S., Karatzas I.. On the Optimal Stopping Problem for One–Dimensional Diffusions. — Stochastic Processes and Their Applications, 2003, v. 107, p. 173–212.

[12] Gerber H.U., Shiu E.S.W. Martingale Approach to Pricing Perpetual American Options on Two Stocks. — Mathematical Finance, 1996, v. 6, p. 303-322.

[13] Dixit A.K., Pindyck R.S. Investment under Uncertainty. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994.

[14] Dynkin E.B. Markov processes. I. Springer, Berlin, 1965.

[15] Ito K., McKean H. Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

[16] Karatzas I., Shreve S. E. Brownian motion and stochastic calculus. Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[17] Lamberton D., Zervos M. On the optimal stopping of a one-dimensional diffusion. — Electronic Journal of Probability, 2013, 18, no. 34, p. 1–49.

[18] McDonald R., Siegel D. The value of waiting to invest. — Quarterly Journal of Economics, 1986, v. 101, p. 707-727.

[19] Oksendal B. Stochastic differential equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[20] Peskir G., Shiryaev A. Optimal stopping and free-boundary problems. Birkhauser, 2006.

[21] Presman E. Solution of the Optimal Stopping Problem for One-Dimensional Diffusion Based on a Modification of the Payoff Function. — In: A.N. Shiryaev et al. (eds.), Prokhorov and Contemporary Probability Theory, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 33, 2013, p. 371–403. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

[22] Shiryaev A. N. Essentials of Stochastic Finance. Facts, Models, Theory. World Scientific, 1999.

[23] Villeneuve S. On the threshold strategies and smooth-fit principle for optimal stopping problems. — Journal of Applied Probability, 44 (1), 181–198, 2007.